### "Online Trust in the Greek context: The influence of perceived company's reputation on consumers' trust and the effects of trust on intention for online transactions"

#### Andromachi Broutsou

Project Management Department, TEI of Larissa, Larissa, Greece andromachi@windowslive.com

#### Panos Fitsilis

Project Management Department, TEI of Larissa, Larissa, Greece fitsilis@teilar.gr

#### Abstract

Trust is a basic ingredient in the creation, the evolvement and the conservation of a long-term relationship between suppliers and buyers. It is also a key differentiator in defining the success or failure of many e-business companies, in order to endorse the importance of online trust. In this paper we present a study on online trust in the B2C Greek context. More specifically, we focus on the issues of perceived company's reputation, online trust and intention for online transactions. The aim of this study is to examine if there is a positive relationship between perceived company's reputation and online trust, and between online trust and consumer's intention for online transactions.

Keywords: Online trust, perceived company's reputation, intention for online transactions

#### Introduction

In recent years electronic commerce is growing rapidly despite the dismal economy (Hu X. et al, 2010). Also, e-commerce has highly contributed to the enhancing of economic growth, reduction of barriers of market entry, improvement of efficiency and effectiveness, reduction of costs and retention of lean inventories. Among customer's benefits of using the Internet are convenience, vast amounts of information, huge variety of products and services, and time savings (Liu C. et al, 2005). Online shopping has a unique feature of uncertainty, anonymity, potential opportunism and lack of. On-line customers are required to share personal data (such as telephone number, e-mail address), financial information (such as credit card numbers), and face the risk of product and services not matching the website description or the risk of damage during the delivery process control (Einwiller et al, 2003). In addition there seem to be little assurance of proper use of the personal information by the retailer (Grabner-Krauter S. et al, 2003). "Lack of trust in online companies is a primary reason why many web users do not shop online" (Koufaris M. and Hampton-Sosa W., 2004, pp. 377).

Trust has been defined in different ways by various authors and is a multi-dimensional construct (Ganguly B. et al, 2010). Trust is the "willingness to rely on another party and to take action in circumstances where such actions make one vulnerable to the other party" (Doney, Cannon and Mullen, 1998, pp.604 cited in Lages L.F et

al, 2008, pp. 688). Trust is a basic ingredient in the creation, the evolvement and the conservation of a long-term relationship between suppliers and buyers (Anderson and Narus, 1990; Ganesan, 1994 cited in Lages L.F. et al, 2008). It also exists, when there is confidence, from the one party, for an exchange partner's credibility and integrity (Morgan and Hunt, 1994 cited in Lages L.F. et al, 2008). In the new business paradigm of online marketplaces, trust has been dealt with as a critical enabling factor for business-to-consumer (B2C) relationships (Lee K. C. et al, 2007) and to overcome many challenges. One of these challenges is how to build online trust to allay risks that associated with online transactions (Hu X. et al, 2010). Perceived reputation influence user's trusting beliefs and trusting intentions towards a web-based vendor. In turn, trusting beliefs, perceived web risk and trusting intention influence customer intentions to engage in the following behaviours:

- share information with the vendor,
- follow vendor advice and
- purchase from the web site (McKnight D. H. et al, 2002).

The present study is going to focus on online trust in the Greek context since there is little literature in this issue. The purpose is to address the issues of perceived company's reputation, online trust and intention for online transactions in a study concerning Greece. Therefore, the main objectives of the research are the following:

- To determine the extent to which the perceived reputation influence initial online trust as well as the ongoing online trust.
- To identify the importance of online trust on the consumers decision to buy through the web in the Greek cultural, behavioral, economical and demographical context.
- To determine the level of online trust and possible problems/issues emerge in the Greek context.
- To use the findings in order to make recommendations on the development of online trust in Greece.

The remaining of the paper is organised as follows: chapter 2 presents the relevant literature, chapter 3 presents the research methodology, while the results are presented in chapter 4. The paper closes with the conclusions.

#### Literature Review

#### Online Trust

The importance of the nature of trust, its antecedents and consequents, is widely recognised. Sociologists, organisational behaviour scientists, psychologists, economists, political scientists and anthropologists have contributed to the wide body of knowledge which exists on this subject (Connolly R. and Bannister F., 2008).

Similarly, online trust is of paramount importance since trust is crucial for the growth of e-commerce (Wang H. and Hu Z., 2009). It is argued that "in the virtual world, the issue of trust gets magnified" (Herz, 1997 cited in Durkan P. et al, 2003, pp.98) and this highlights the significance of online trust (Durkan P. et al, 2003). Djahantighi, F.S. and Fakar, E., (2010, pp.7) stated that "online trust is one of the key obstacles to vendors succeeding on the internet medium. A lack of trust is likely to discourage online consumers from participating in e-commerce".

The lack of e-trust (online trust) is likely to deter any purchase over the internet (Merrilees B. and Fry M.L., 2003). Constantinides E. (2004) supports, that online trust is one of the factors that is frequently associated with the failure or success of online ventures and its multi-dimensional character makes it a complicated issue and he presents the most important trust elements which are: "Transaction security", "Customer Data Abuse", "Customer Data Safety", "Uncertainty Reducing Elements" and "Guarantees Return Policies".

Djahantighi F.S. and Fakar E. (2010) elaborated the factors that affect online trust and consequently consumer's intention to make an online purchase. These determinants of online trust are:

- *Perceived Usefulness*: It refers to the belief that a particular system would enhance effectiveness and job performance.
- Perceived Ease of Use: It is the belief that a particular system would be free from strenuous effort. Modern technology (Internet) enhances ease of use and triggers positive purchase behaviours, when making online transactions.
- Perceived Enjoyment of Technology: Useful and easily understood information on web sites lifts the degree of online trust, and influences positively purchase intention.
- *Perceived Privacy and Security*: Perceived privacy is the dissemination of information related to online transactions or behaviours.
- Company Competency: It includes issues such as company size, good reputation, willingness to customize and interactions with online customers.

#### Company's reputation

When customers have no experience with a special e-vendor, reputation may be the key to absorb customers. From other people's word-of-mouth, customers can form positive experience towards the company. This can diminish the perception to risk and uncertainty online and help to increase customers to engender willingness to depend on the e-vendor (Wang H. and Hu Z., 2009). In a study on online trust conducted by Ha H.Y. (2004) was found that reputation is a critical component of trust.

Company's image and reputation have been often found to be crucial enablers of virtual interactions and transactions by decreasing the transaction risk as well as reducing consumer anxiety. High levels of brand awareness and good reputation reduces the online consumers' demands for integrity or credibility credentials (Constantinides E., 2004). According to Turilli M. et al, (2010, pp. 388), "reputation is widely recognised as one of the main criteria used to assess the trustworthiness of a potential trustee. For this reason, an agent can trust another agent only by means of online interactions".

In online markets there is the opportunity to trade with a larger, fluctuating set of partners. But this means less reliance on longterm relationships. Many providers, such as eBay, Amazon, and Yahoo, in order to promote the exchange of information on the credibility of individual traders have instituted online reputation systems, known as "feed- back" systems, to provide the kind of word of mouth available in traditionally online markets. In fact, the advantage of online feedback systems over traditional word of mouth in that penetrating information online does not require personal contacts and in that feedback information from even large numbers of buyers can easily be collected and processed (Bolton G.E. et al, 2004).

#### Perceived company's reputation and online trust

Chen Y.H. and Barnes S. (2007, pp. 21) in their paper tested the hypothesis "Perceived good reputation of the company is positively related to online initial trust in e-commerce" and found a positive correlation between them. Djahantighi F.S. and Fakar E. (2010) in their research paper tested the same hypothesis and contrary to the findings of Chen Y.H. and Barnes S., found no correlation. The first research is conducted in the context of Taiwanese online bookstores and the second study was about Customer's Trends for Reservation Foreign Hotels via Internet.

The process of building a positive firm reputation is not easy to address since it is expensive and time-consuming and requires a great deal of consistent relationship-enhancing behaviour from the vendor's part towards its consumers. This process can be undermined very easily and any positive endeavor outweighed by a few mistakes by the firm. A company that acts in a consistent way concerning the creation of a positive reputation, especially when it has been established, has motive to continue doing this, and as people will consider reputation to be a credible variable upon which to assess trust in the company (Koufaris M. and Hampton-Sosa W., 2004). An individual tends to accept easily the generally opinion about the reputation of a company and to use it to form its own personal opinion regarding trust in that company. If several other people have the belief that a company has a certain degree of integrity, honesty and fairness, then a possible customer is likely to assume those qualities as well and use them to determine the extent to which can trust the company (Koufaris M. and Hampton-Sosa W., 2004).

Consumer trust can increase significantly when a firm is perceived to have a good reputation (Doney P.M. and Cannon J.P., 1997; Jarvenpaa S.L. et al, cited in Koufaris M. and Hampton-Sosa W., 2004). Perceived reputation is "the degree in which people believe in the company's honesty and concern towards its customers" (Koufaris M. and Hampton-Sosa W., 2004, pp. 381).

Many studies showed that there is a positive relationship between perceived reputation and online trust. Jarvenpaa S.L. and Tractinsky N. (1999 cited in Wang H. and Hu Z., 2009) in their research confirm that perceived reputation is positively related to online initial trust and to online ongoing trust. McKnight D.H. et al (2002), found that perceived reputation had a positive impact on trusting beliefs in the company as well as on trusting intentions toward the company for new consumers. Perceived reputation is positively related to trust, especially initial trust, in the company (Koufaris M. and Hampton-Sosa W., 2004). Given that the literature provides stronger evidence for the positive relationship between perceived company's reputation and online trust, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H1. There is a positive relationship between perceived company's reputation and online (initial and ongoing) trust in the company.

#### Virtual customer purchase intention

Understanding the mechanisms of online shopping and the behaviour of the virtual customer is a priority issue for practitioners competing in the fast expansion e-marketplace. This topic is also increasingly drawing the attention of researchers. Many online companies still do not understand completely the needs and behaviour of the online customer and continue to struggle with the issue of effective selling products online (Constantinides E., 2004). A good deal of research endeavor is focused on constructing models of online shopping and decision-making process. A new step which is fundamental in online buying has been added to the online shopping process: the step of building trust or confidence (McKnight D. et al, 2002 cited in Constantinides E., 2004).

Pavlou (2003, cited in Chen Y.H. and Barnes S., 2007, pp.25) defines purchase intention "as the situation which manifests itself when a customer is willing and intends to become involved in online transactions".

From the online trust literature the most commonly identified consequences of trust are purchase intention and perceived risk (Ganguly B. et al, 2010). According to Ganguly B. et al, (2010, pp. 307) "purchase intention is concerned with the likelihood to purchase products online". Purchase intention is the last consistency of a number of cues for the e-commerce consumer. The more the vendor is capable of evoking the consumer's trust the more willing is the consumer to purchase from an online store (Jarvenpaa and Tractinsky, 1999 cited in Ganguly B. et al, 2010). Several studies (Gefen et al., 2003; Kim and Kim, 2005; Salam et al., 2005; Suh and Hun, 2003; Sultan et al., 2001) have shown that increase in consumer trust on the online seller increases purchase intention (Ganguly B. et al, 2010).

A number of studies have focused on the factors that influence customer decision making and behaviour in the environment of webshopping. Some other findings are unique to the web environment. The web site layout design as well as the information content are significant in order to arouse initial customers' interest to further explore a web site (Huang, 2000; Menon and Kahn, 2002; Iyer et al, 2005 cited in So W. C. M. et al, 2005). In addition, matching channel characteristics and retail information disclose for customer shopping orientation is also significant factor. Perceived risk, perceived usefulness and ease of use, pervious adoption, perceived financial benefits and internet use would affect web-shopping adoption and also web-purchase intentions and decisions (So W. C. M. et al, 2005).

Djahantighi F.S. and Fakar E. (2010) elaborated two factors affecting purchase intention for online transactions which are online trust and familiarity with online transactions. The existence of trust increases customers' beliefs that e-vendors will not participate in opportunistic behaviour. Besides, prior purchasing experiences are positively related to purchase intentions in e-commerce (Shim et al, 2001; So et al, 2005 cited in Chen Y.H. and Barnes S., 2007).

According to Chie C.M. et al, (2009), the factors that are related to the purchase intention are perceived ease of use, perceived usefulness, website enjoyment and online trust.

#### The impact of online trust on purchase intention

It has been demonstrated that online customers' purchase intention is positively affected by trusting beliefs (Verhagen et al, 2004, 2006; McKnight et al, 2002; Lim et al, 2001; Jarvenpaa et al, 2000 cited in Connolly R. and Bannister F., 2008). Chen Y.H and Barnes S. (2007) stated that online initial trust has a positive impact on purchase intention. Trust would affect customer's intention to purchase a product from an online vendor (Gefen, 2000 cited in Grabner- Krauter S. and Kaluscha E.A., 2003). Grabner- Krauter S. and Kaluscha E.A. (2003) agree that lack of trust is one of the most frequently mentioned reasons for customers not purchasing from online vendors. They also reported that trust in the e-commerce retailer influences customers' perceived risk of the transaction, the usefulness of the Web-site and perceived ease of use as well as the customers' intention to transact. Therefore, it is concluded, according to the above, that the level of trust and the intention for online transactions are positively related, and the following hypothesis is proposed: H2. There is a positive relationship between the level of trust an

H2. There is a positive relationship between the level of trust an individual has for an online business and the intention for online transactions.

#### Online trust and the Greek e-commerce context

According to Maditinos D. and Theodoridis K. (2010) there is low internet and technology infusion, as well as limited online market in Greece. According to Malhotra N.K. and McCort J.D. (2001), important cultural differences between different countries extend to the ecommerce context. Chai L. and Pavlou P. (2004) elaborated the case of cultural differences and other factors influence the electronic commerce adoption. They have endorsed that there is an important cultural dimension which is "uncertainty avoidance" and refers to how much people feel threatened by ambiguity. It is supported that Greece's score is the highest for any country measured (Chai L. and Pavlou P., 2004). Besides, Chai L. and Pavlou P. (2004, pp. 416) state that "this distinct cultural dimension is suggested to moderate consumers' intentions to adopt e-commerce". There is a moderating effect of uncertainty in the intention to purchase on-line. Since in Greece people feel importantly threatened by ambiguity, online trust is of high significance in the Greek context. "Countries with high uncertainty avoidance, such as Greece, dislike uncertain situations and prefer to act only under known conditions" (Chai L. and Pavlou P., 2004, pp. 418). A common mistake is to assume that all customer behaviour is similar. Managers of online shopping companies should modify their approaches, depending on the culture they are targeting (Chai L. and Pavlou P., 2004). When managers attempt to penetrate in the Greek market, they should focus on creating and fostering a safe online transactions image (Chai L. and Pavlou P., 2004). According to Maditinos D. and Theodoridis K. (2010), the security perception is positively related to e-commerce customer satisfaction which is related to the intention of a consumer to repurchase through internet. Therefore it should be a priority to create a strong company's local identity and presence in the local country (Chai L. and Pavlou P., 2004).

#### Research Methodology

Primary data for the research were collected by structured questionnaire. The questionnaire included close-end questions, it counted on a five-point Likert scale from 1-Strongly Disagree to 5-Strongly Agree and was based on the literature review. The sample consisted of users that are familiar with the Web and especially with the social networks (Facebook). Because the research took place in Greece, the questionnaire before uploading on Facebook, was translated in Greek with great attention so as not to lose the meaning of the questions. The questionnaire was anonymous and all the questions were based on previous research and theories after the study of several articles. In addition, the questions should be targeted in order to help in the research and to its better results. The distribution became online, uploading the questionnaire on Facebook in pages-groups and remained uploading for two weeks targeting in the participation of at least 200 users. Before the distribution of the questionnaire, was transacted a pretest in order to ascertain possible problems in the completion of the questionnaire as well to examine its structure and its understanding from the participants. The pretest involved 10 users.

The data were recorded electronically in a data base. The questions that use Likert scale analyzed as quantitative (5-points scale). The aim was to investigate if the answers/attitudes (dependent variables) are affected by the demographics factors (independent variables). The quantitative methods (t-test and ANOVA test) were used in order to investigate if there are any differences in the answers/attitude between the categories of the categorical variables (demographics factors). For example, males and females. T-test is used when the categorical-independent variable has only two categories (i.e the gender: male-female) while ANOVA is used when the categorical variable has more than three categories (i.e educational level, age groups) (Field A. P., 2005).

For the statistical analysis of the data was used SPSS 15.0 software, because it is one of the most broadly used and reliable software.

In order to examine the reliability of the answers two couples of similar and two couples of contradictive questions had been created. Those who had more than two mistaken couples of questions were considered that had completed the questionnaire without particular attention and did not take part in the analysis. In this point it has to be mentioned that was not found any questionnaire with more than two mistaken couples of questions. Besides, users that had completed less than 50 per cent of the questions were rejected from the analysis because this kind of questionnaires did not give reliable answers and for this reason could not be examined the hypotheses of the research.

#### Discussion

In order to explore the relation between perceived company's reputation and online trust, and between online trust and intention for online transaction, was used correlation analysis. Correlation analysis is a technique for investigating the relationship between two quantitative, continuous variables (Field A. P., 2005). In order to test the relationship between these couples of variables was used Pearson's correlation coefficient (r).

Table 1 shows that the correlation between perceived company's reputation and online trust is r=0.351 and this implies that there is a moderate positive correlation. This denotes that an increase of perceived company's reputation involves an increase of online trust (score). As for the association between online trust and intention for online transactions, the correlation coefficient is equal to 0.225. This degree expresses a low positive correlation between the two variables. This indicates that an increase of trust leads to a low increase of intention for online transactions. However, is worth mentioning that both of the values of coefficient correlation are statistically significant (both P-value<0.001). This significance is due to high number of observations of the sample (n=206).

#### Table 1: Correlations table

Correlations								
		Reputation	Trust	Intention				
Reputation	Pearson Correlation	1	,351**	,306**				
	Sig. (2-tailed)		,000	,000,				
	Ν	206	206	206				
Trust	Pearson Correlation	,351**	1	,225**				
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000		,001				
	Ν	206	206	206				
Intention	Pearson Correlation	,306**	,225**	1				
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,001					
	Ν	206	206	206				

\*\* Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

where *Pearson correlation* refers to the value of Pearson coefficient correlation, *Sig.(2-tailed)* refers to P-value and N is the number of observations.

Based on the values of Pearson correlation coefficient (r) was calculated the coefficient of determination ( $R^2$  or r square) as  $R^2 = r^2$ .  $R^2$  expresses the proportion of variance of the dependent variable (Y) which is explained by the independent variable (X) (Field A. P., 2005).

According to the previous results, studying the R square between perceived company's reputation (independent variable) and online trust (dependent variable) it is concluded that  $R^2=0.123$ . This denotes that 12.3% of the online trust variation is explained by the perceived company's reputation. About the R square between online trust (independent variable) and intention for online transactions (dependent variable) it is concluded that  $R^2=0.051$ . This indicates that online trust explains 5.1% of the intention for online transactions variability.

Multivariate statistical analysis was applied to explore the research hypotheses and to assess the influence of other (independent) variables on the dependent variables. Variables taking into account, also, the demographic characteristics. Regression analysis is used either to predict the value of a quantitative dependent variable, based on the value of at least one independent variable and to explain the impact of changes in an independent variable on the dependent variable or to find out which factors (independent variables) and how these factors effect on the dependent variable (Field A. P., 2005). In this study, multiple linear regression analysis was performed using the stepwise method in order to test the research hypotheses taking into account, also, the demographic characteristics.

## Regression analysis between online trust and perceived company's reputation

The execution of the multiple linear regression model in which the demographic characteristics were included as well, were created the results below. In this model trust was considered as dependent variable while perceived company's reputation as a predictor (independent variable). Looking at the table 2, specifically in model 2 (final model), the coefficient of perceived company's reputation  $\beta$  is equal to 0.381 (P-value<0.001<0.05) and of Age\_Dummy2,  $\beta$  is equal to -0.323 (P-value=0.007<0.05). Both of these predictors are statistically significant for online trust. This means that these variables have an impact on the levels of online trust.

Specifically, increasing the perceived company's reputation score by one, online trust's score is increased by 0.381 having the rest factors stable. About Age\_Dummy2, the coefficient  $\beta$ = -0.323 indicates that online trust decreases significantly more in people who are older than 35 years (by 0.323) compared to those who are 17-24 years old. Therefore, it is concluded that there is a positive relationship between perceived company's reputation and online trust and a negative relationship between age and online trust.

## Table 2: Regression Coefficients for perceived company's reputation and online trust

		Unstand Coeffi		Standardized Coefficients			95% Confidenc	e Interval for B
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Low er Bound	Upper Bound
1	(Constant)	2,676	,223		11,998	,000	2,236	3,115
	Reputation	,351	,066	,351	5,336	,000	,221	,481
2	(Constant)	2,645	,220		12,031	,000	2,212	3,079
	Reputation	,381	,066	,380	5,794	,000	,251	,510
	Age_Dummy2	-,323	,119	-,178	-2,710	,007	-,558	-,088

Coefficients<sup>a</sup>

a. Dependent Variable: Trust

where B (or  $\beta$ ) is the value of coefficient, t is the value of t-test and Sig.: represents the P-value.

Below, ANOVA table denotes that our model (model 2) is overall statistically significant as it has a P-value <0.001 <0,05.

#### Table 3: ANOVA for perceived company's reputation and online trust

ANOVAC

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	13,789	1	13,789	28,477	,000 <sup>a</sup>
	Residual	98,298	203	,484		
	Total	112,088	204			
2	Regression	17,237	2	8,618	18,354	,000 <sup>b</sup>
	Residual	94,851	202	,470		
	Total	112,088	204			

a. Predictors: (Constant), Reputation

b. Predictors: (Constant), Reputation, Age\_Dummy2

c. Dependent Variable: Trust

From the table below (model 2), it can be seen that  $R^2_{adjusted} = 0,145$ . This implies that our regression model presents 14.5% of online trust variation. Therefore, these explanatory variables can explain a small part of online trust. Based on the above findings, Hypothesis 1 is supported.

Model Summary									
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate					
1	,351 <sup>a</sup>	,123	,119	,6959					
2 ,392 <sup>b</sup> ,154 ,145 ,6852									
a. Predictors: (Constant), Reputation									

b. Predictors: (Constant), Reputation, Age\_Dummy2

# Regression analysis between intention for online transactions and online trust

In this section analysis of the intention for online transactions was considered as dependent variable and online trust as explanatory variable. Conducting a multiple linear regression analysis in which the demographic variables were inserted in the model as well, resulting in a regression model (model 4 of the table below) in which are included the predictors online trust ( $\beta$ =0.225, P-value=0.001<0.05), Prof\_Dummy2 ( $\beta$ =0.701, P-value<0.001<0.05), Educ\_Dummy2 ( $\beta$ =0.347, P-value=0.006<0.05) and Prof\_Dummy1 ( $\beta$ =0.528, P-value=0.007<0.05) are statistically significant factors for intention for online transactions. Looking at their P-values it is concluded that all of these variables are statistically significant factors for intention for online transactions.

Interpreting the results below someone can say that increasing the online trust by one then intention for online transactions is increased by 0.225 having the rest factors stable. About Prof\_Dummy2, this denotes that employed people have increased intention for online transactions compared to unemployed people. The conclusion is the same when you compare students to unemployed people. Still, from the education dummy variable (Educ\_Dummy2) it is concluded that people who have acquired master or PhD have increased intention for online transactions compared to those that have graduated from senior high school.

				Coefficients <sup>4</sup>	a			
		Unstand Coeffi		Standardized Coefficients			95% Confidenc	e Interval for B
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Low er Bound	Upper Bound
1	(Constant)	2,479	,280		8,851	,000	1,927	3,031
	Trust	,240	,072	,229	3,354	,001	,099	,382
2	(Constant)	2,280	,283		8,067	,000	1,723	2,837
	Trust	,239	,070	,228	3,404	,001	,101	,378
	Prof_Dummy2	,322	,108	,201	2,993	,003	,110	,534
3	(Constant)	2,250	,279		8,053	,000	1,699	2,802
	Trust	,232	,070	,221	3,342	,001	,095	,369
	Prof_Dummy2	,307	,106	,191	2,886	,004	,097	,517
	Educ_Dummy2	,308	,125	,163	2,454	,015	,060	,555
4	(Constant)	1,875	,308		6,095	,000	1,268	2,481
	Trust	,225	,068	,215	3,289	,001	,090	,360
	Prof_Dummy2	,701	,178	,437	3,935	,000	,350	1,053
	Educ_Dummy2	,347	,124	,183	2,790	,006	,102	,592
	Prof_Dummy1	,528	,193	,305	2,733	,007	,147	,909

Table 4: Regression Coefficient for online trust and intention for online transactions

a. Dependent Variable: Intention

Below, ANOVA table shows that the model (model 4) is overall statistically significant as it has a P-value <0.001 <0,05.

Table 5	5:	ANOVA	for	online	trust	and	intention	for	online	transactions
						A NC	N/ A <sup>0</sup>			

			ANOVA®			
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	6,477	1	6,477	11,249	,001 <sup>a</sup>
	Residual	116,895	203	,576		
	Total	123,372	204			
2	Regression	11,440	2	5,720	10,323	,000 <sup>b</sup>
	Residual	111,932	202	,554		
	Total	123,372	204			
3	Regression	14,697	3	4,899	9,061	,000 <sup>c</sup>
	Residual	108,676	201	,541		
	Total	123,372	204			
4	Regression	18,610	4	4,652	8,882	,000 <sup>d</sup>
	Residual	104,762	200	,524		
	Total	123,372	204			

a. Predictors: (Constant), Trust

b. Predictors: (Constant), Trust, Prof\_Dummy2

C. Predictors: (Constant), Trust, Prof\_Dummy2, Educ\_Dummy2

d. Predictors: (Constant), Trust, Prof\_Dummy2, Educ\_Dummy2, Prof\_Dummy1

e. Dependent Variable: Intention

Finally, examining the proportion of intention for online transactions variance, which is interpreted in the table below (model 4), by looking at  $R^2_{adjusted}$  it can be seen that this model explains 13.4% of intention for online transactions variability. Therefore, these explanatory variables can explain a small part of intention for online transactions. Based on the above findings, Hypothesis 2 is supported.

Model Summary	
---------------	--

N	<i>l</i> odel	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1		,229 <sup>a</sup>	,053	,048	,7588
2	2	,305 <sup>b</sup>	,093	,084	,7444
3	3	,345 <sup>c</sup>	,119	,106	,7353
4	ł	,388 <sup>d</sup>	,151	,134	,7237

a. Predictors: (Constant), Trust

b. Predictors: (Constant), Trust, Prof\_Dummy2

C. Predictors: (Constant), Trust, Prof\_Dummy2, Educ\_

Dummy2

d. Predictors: (Constant), Trust, Prof\_Dummy2, Educ\_ Dummy2, Prof\_Dummy1

#### Conclusion

Perceived company's reputation, online trust and intention for online transactions are three of the issues for which a large number of researchers are working on these in the academic community. Trust is very essential and has been called key to e-commerce and therefore building trust is even more vital.

The contribution of this paper, concerns the study of the relationship between perceived company's reputation and online trust and between online trust and intention for online transactions according to the beliefs and opinions of Greek people. These conclusions can contribute greatly in understanding consumer behavior for e-commerce services and therefore to help improving the offered services.

However, this study has a number of limitations such as: the customer sample was taken from Greece and therefore that study cannot describe

consumer behavior in a global market, and since the used questionnaire was distributed through Facebook it excludes all those that are not Facebook members.

#### References

- Bolton, G. et al, (2004), "How Effective Are Electronic Reputation Mechanisms? An Experimental Investigation", Management Science, 50(11), pp. 1587-1602
- Chai, L. and Pavlou, P., (2004), "From "ancient" to "modern": a crosscultural investigation of electronic commerce adoption in Greece and the United States", *The Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, **17**(6), pp. 416-423
- Chen, Y.H. and Barnes, S., (2007), "Initial trust and online buyer behaviour", Industrial Management & Data Systems, **107**(1), pp. 21-36
- Chie, C.M. et al, (2009), "Determinants of customer repurchase intention in online shopping", Online Information Review, 33(4), pp. 761-784
- Connolly, R. and Bannister, F., (2008), "Factors influencing Irish consumers' trust", *Management Research News*, **31**(5), pp. 339-358
- Constantinides, E., (2004), "Influencing the online consumer's behavior: the Web experience", *Internet Research*, **14**(2), pp. 111-126
- Djahantighi, F.S. and Fakar, E., (2010), "Factors Affecting Customer's Trends for Reservation Foreign Hotels via Internet in Iran", International Bulletin of Business Administration, 7, pp.6-14
- Durkan, P. et al, (2003), "Exploring efforts to engender on-line trust", International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research, 9(3), pp. 93-110

Field, A. P., (2005), "Discovering Statistics Using SPSS (and sex and drugs and Rock 'n' Roll)", 2nd edition, London: Sage Ganguly, B. et al, (2010), "The effects of website design on purchase

Ganguly, B. et al, (2010), "The effects of website design on purchase intention in online shopping: the mediating role of trust and the moderating role of culture", International Journal of Electronic Business, 8, pp. 302-330

Grabner- Krauter, S. and Kaluscha, E.A., (2003), "Empirical research in on-line trust: a review and Critical assessment", International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 58, pp. 783-812

- Ha, H. Y., (2004), "Factors influencing consumer perceptions of brand trust online", Journal of Product & Brand Management, 13(5), pp. 329-342
- Hu, X. et al, (2010), "The effects of Web assurance seals on consumers' initial trust in an online vendor: A functional perspective", Decision Support Systems, 48, pp. 407-418
- Josang, A. et al, (2007), "A survey of trust and reputation systems for online service provision", Decision Support Systems, 43, pp. 618-644
- Koufaris, M. and Hampton-Sosa, W., (2004), "The development of initial trust in an online company by new customers", Information & Management, 41, pp.377-397
- Lages, L.F. et al, (2008), "The B2B-RELPERF scale and scorecard: Bringing relationship marketing theory into business-to-business practice", Industrial Marketing Management, 37, pp. 686-697
- Lee, K. C. et al, (2007), "Transfer from Offline Trust to Key Online Perceptions: An Empirical Study", IEEE transactions on engineering management, 54(4), pp. 729-741
- Liu, C. et al, (2005), "Beyond concern: a privacy-trust-behavioral intention model of electronic commerce", Information & Management, 42, pp. 89-304

- Maditinos, D. and Theodoridis, K., (2010), "Satisfaction determinants in the Greek online shopping context", Information Technology & People, 23(4), pp. 312-329
- Malhotra, N.K. and McCort, J.D., (2001), "A cross-cultural comparison of behavioral intention models Theoretical consideration and an empirical investigation", International Marketing Review, 18(3), pp. 235-269

McKnight, D. et al, (2002), "The impact of initial consumer trust on intentions to transact with a web site: a trust building model", Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 11, pp. 297-323

Merrilees, B. and Fry, M.L., (2003), "E-trust: the influence of perceived interactivity on e-retailing users", Marketing Intelligence and planning, 21(2), pp.123-128

Salo, J. and Karjaluoto, H., (2007), "A conceptual model of trust in the online environment", Online Information Review, 31(5), pp. 604-621

So, W.C.M. et al, (2005), "Factors affecting intentions to purchase via the internet", Industrial Management and Data Systems, 105(9), pp. 1225-1244

Sultan, F. and Mooraj, H.A., (2001), "Designing a trust-based ebusiness strategy", Marketing Management, November/December, pp. 40-45

Turilli, M. et al, (2010), "The case of online trust", Springer Science & Business Media B.V. Know. Techn. Pol., 23, pp. 333-345

Wang, H. and Hu, Z., (2009), "Online Trust between Inexperienced Consumers and Experienced Consumers: An Empirical Study", Second International Conference on Future Information Technology and Management Engineering, pp. 167-170